

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 March 2026 09:23
To: LDP <LDP@valeofglamorgan.gov.uk>
Subject: Objections to Proposed Development in Colwinston Village - Site ID 4069 Land East of Colwinston,

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

FAO VoG Planning Department

Objections to Proposed VoG Development Colwinston Village

Site ID 4069 – Land East of Colwinston

Good Morning,

I am contacting you to formally raise objections to the proposed development of 25 houses in Colwinston village. [REDACTED] would be grateful if you could acknowledge receipt of this email,

Kind Regards

Andrew Murphy

[REDACTED]

Grounds For Objection

1. The redrawing of the settlement boundary to include candidate site 4069 is unjustified and unsupported by evidence.
2. The location of the site is unviable, unsustainable, and will inevitably cause significant and repairable damage to the village, its heritage status and residents.

Specific Objections

1. Redrawing of the Boundary Settlement to include site 4069

Colwinston village, including the proposed site is part of a rural conservation area. Extending the boundary will fundamentally alter the settlement area and is contrary to the statutory duty to preserve or enhance conservation sites. The proposal does not contain specific justification for its inclusion and is not supported by the impact assessment.

2. Heritage and landscape impact

The Village of Colwinston is listed as a County Treasure, further new development would have a detrimental impact on the character and setting of the village, creates an imbalance between heritage buildings, a new development and would be contrary to conservation area policy.

3. Integrated Site Appraisal

The integrated site impact assessment does not support the inclusion of this site, which would contravene almost all of the stated sustainability objectives within the LDP itself

4. Lack of Consultation.

There has been a lack of direct consultation with village. Residents reliance on a digital approach will have excluded many people in the local community from participating and raising concerns.

5. Inconsistency Of Site Assessment over Time

The site has been considered on a number of previous occasions by the local authority and judged as unsuitable. A change in position is not supported by the adverse impact assessment and is contrary to the local authorities on policies

6. Flood risk

There are well-known draining of flooding issues in and around the proposed site. In addition to sewerage system capacity and connectivity issues with the Cowbridge waste water treatment works. Building on this land would significantly increase the risk of flooding affecting not only newbuilds, but property is currently in existence.

7. Highways

Colwinston village is not supported by a direct bus service, or active travel routes. Entry and egress to the village is through poorly maintained, country lanes. The recent new development and primary school development has brought significant traffic, travel and parking issues which would be magnified by further building. The increased traffic would compromise safety of residents, walkers and cyclists.

8. Lack of Supporting Evidence

The proposed development is not supported in evidence by a settlement-based housing need. In light of recent developments in the village, the overall growth is not proportionate to the scale of a historic village, is damaging to each conservation status and will detract from community cohesion.

Andrew Murphy

[REDACTED]

4/3/2026

