

**From:** Jackie Murphy [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 04 March 2026 09:48

**To:** LDP <LDP@valeofglamorgan.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Objections to Proposed Development in Colwinston Village - Site ID 4069 Land East of Colwinston

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

Good Morning,

I am contacting you to formally raise objections to the proposed development of 25 houses in Colwinston village. I've set out my grounds objections below and would be grateful if you could acknowledge receipt of this email.

Jackie Murphy

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**FAO VoG Planning Department**

**Objections to Proposed VoG Development Colwinston Village**

**Site ID 4069 – Land East of Colwinston**

**Grounds For Objection**

1. The redrawing of the settlement boundary to include candidate site 4069 is unjustified and unsupported by evidence.
2. The location of the site is unviable, unsustainable, and will inevitably cause significant and repairable damage to the village, its heritage status and residents.

**Specific Objections**

Colwinston village and the proposed site is part are both part of a conservation area. Further development in the village, in addition to that already undertaken, will significantly degraded its status, have a detrimental impact on the character and setting of the village and result in a total imbalance between heritage buildings and new developments.

The proposal does not contain justification for its inclusion in the LDP, has been deemed as being unsuitable on past occasions, is not supported by the impact assessment and is contrary to the majority of stated sustainability objectives within the council's LDP.

The recent overdevelopment of the village has led to significant traffic issues in the area which will only increase with further development. The village does not benefit from a public transport link, does not have an active travel route entry and access to, and from the village is via poorly maintained country roads, which can ill afford increased permanent levels of vehicle usage.

The site proposed has significant drainage and flood issues. Development in this area will not only risk new builds but put existing residents at risk of significant damage from flood water and drainage overspill.

The proposed development is not supported by a settlement-based housing need. If the development goes ahead, the overall growth in the village will be totally out of proportion to the scale of the village itself and will damage its status as a historic village, county treasure and conservation area.

There has been a total lack of open consultation between the VOG and local residents, which is disappointing based on past recent experience. Significant numbers of residents are elderly and the reliance by the local authority on electronic consultation will prevent many from raising their concerns and objectives.

For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed development,

Jackie Murphy

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]