

From: Marshallsea, Naomi (Cllr) [REDACTED]

Sent: 04 March 2026 09:53

To: LDP <LDP@valeofglamorgan.gov.uk>

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: Objection to additional houses at Weycock Cross

Good morning,

Please can both emails below from Mr Vaughan be added to the consultation?

Please can he also have an email confirming this and cc me in?

Many thanks

Naomi

Naomi Marshallsea

Councillor/

Vale of Glamorgan Council / Cyngor Bro Morgannwg

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Consider the environment. Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to.

Ystyriwch yr amgylchedd. Peidiwch ag argraffu'r neges hon oni bai fod gwir angen.

Visit our Website at www.valeofglamorgan.gov.uk

Ewch i'n gwefan yn www.bromorgannwg.gov.uk

Find us on Facebook / Cewch ddod o hyd i ni ar Facebook

Follow us on Twitter / Dilynwch ni ar Twitter

Correspondence is welcomed in Welsh or English / Croesewir Gohebiaeth yn y Gymraeg neu yn Saesneg.

From: Steve Vaughan [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 March 2026 17:49

To: Marshallsea, Naomi (Cllr) [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Objection to additional houses at Weycock Cross

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Hi Naomi

A couple of other concerns are that I live only 500 m from this development site, yet the Vale of Glamorgan chose to include telling me about this development. I had to hear by word of mouth. This is not acceptable.

I believe they should tell not only all residents in Barry, but all residents in the Vale. Telling only the homes that are adjacent to the field is not good enough. This development will have a long negative effect on the quality of life for all in the Vale.

The other issue I tried to utilise the web site to put my objections across and I found that the information was bloated out with rubbish, there is too little facts and too much. This was done to put people of reading. We need information backed up with fact not data and bloat.

When responding we are restricted to very few words, so we have no option but to be concise (One rule for one, another for others). The web site is off putting (maybe deliberately so) I did put my objection, but not convinced it worked at all.

How can councillors who have turned down smaller developments twice on this site before turn coat and vote for it, when the situation has only got worse.

Thank you for your time.